

# Report on Kestrel Partners LLP voting behaviour in respect of Kestrel clients Period 1 April 2023 – 31 March 2024

The Kestrel ESG Engagement Policy (SRDII) is published on our website at <a href="www.kestrelpartners.com">www.kestrelpartners.com</a>. We adhered to our policy in the period under review and this report considers our voting behaviour in respect of both our Principal Investee Companies ("PICs"), where Kestrel manages combined holdings of over 3% of the issued equity, together with smaller holdings held in larger managed accounts and funds. Consistent with prior periods, we did not routinely vote shares held in smaller managed accounts given the minimal holdings and relatively high cost of voting.

## Our voting behaviour generally

In summary, Kestrel Partners LLP voted on behalf of clients at every general meeting and on every resolution during the period, as set out below:

	Shareholder meetings held in period	Shareholder meetings at which we voted	Total number of resolutions presented	Total number of resolutions on which we voted	Resolutions where we voted AGAINST	Resolutions where we WITHELD our vote
Annual General Meetings	15	15	151	151	2	0
General Meetings	4	4	13	13	0	0
	19	19	164	164	2	0

#### Our general approach to investee company engagement prior to a general meeting

We encourage and expect investee companies to discuss any significant or unusual resolutions with us prior to convening a shareholder meeting. As part of this process, conducted by way of formal market soundings where appropriate, we provide feedback and suggestions on such resolutions.

In our experience, investee companies tend to make amendments to their formal resolutions taking our feedback, and that of other institutional investors, into account. Where we are unable to get comfortable with a proposed resolution, we carefully consider issuing an AGAINST vote or withholding our vote.

#### How we voted in general meetings in relation to Principal Investee Companies

During the period under review, we voted FOR all resolutions put to a meeting, other than one (see below), having obtained sufficient amendments or explanations to those resolutions of most significance.

In the case of one company, we voted AGAINST two resolutions concerning the disapplication of pre-emption rights when issuing 10% new shares for cash and 10% new shares for cash to be used on an acquisition. Our position on such resolutions is that except in exceptional circumstances a maximum of 10% pre-emption rights is a reasonable resolution, notwithstanding the current market guidance from the Pre-Emption Group of the FRC permitting 20% in total. The pre-emption resolution was passed despite our vote against.

### Most significant votes cast on resolutions considered in the period

The most significant resolutions we considered during the period were as follows:

All companies – standing authorities for the disapplication of pre-emption rights on issue of new shares
for cash (AGMs). We consider all resolutions related to this matter as significant and, other than in
exceptional situations, we do not expect companies to seek more than a standing 5% and 5% disapplication
at AGM. We voted FOR all such AGM resolutions other than for two resolutions (at the same company)



- as set out above which deemed unnecessary. We note the Pre-Emption Group's support for 10% and 10% levels of disapplication of pre-emption rights, which we do not agree with as a matter of policy.
- One company sought support to approve a "scheme of arrangement" in connection with a takeover approach (General Meeting). We held board representation at this company and were therefore party to and supportive of the resolution and as such we voted FOR the associated resolutions.

## Our use of proxy advisers to guide our voting behaviour

We consider each company resolution on its individual merits when deciding how to vote the shares taking into account our own views and generally accepted market practice.

Kestrel did not make use of proxy advisors during the period. We keep the future use of proxy advisors under review.